UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/577,455	04/27/2006	John H. Clements	81,621	3435	
	29089 7590 08/05/2008 HUNTSMAN PETROCHEMICAL CORPORATION			EXAMINER	
LEGAL DEPARTMENT 10003 WOODLOCH FOREST DRIVE			QIAN, YUN		
	ANDS, TX 77380	E.	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			4162		
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
			08/05/2008	PAPER	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)			
	10/577,455	CLEMENTS ET AL.			
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
	YUN QIAN	4162			
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address			
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DA - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tim vill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONE	l. lely filed the mailing date of this communication. (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status					
Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>27 A</u> This action is FINAL . 2b)⊠ This Since this application is in condition for allowar closed in accordance with the practice under E	action is non-final. nce except for formal matters, pro				
Disposition of Claims					
4) ☐ Claim(s) 1-17 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw 5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☐ Claim(s) 1-17 is/are rejected. 7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or Application Papers 9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examine 10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ accention and policion to the original description.	vn from consideration. r election requirement. r. epted or b) □ objected to by the B				
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).					
11)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	aminer. Note the attached Office	Action or form PTO-152.			
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119					
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 					
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 4/27/2006.	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal P 6) Other:	te			

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

Claims 1-2, 4-5, 7-8 and 17 are rejected under 35 U.S.C.102 (b) as being anticipated by Callinan et al. (US 6,030,355).

Regarding claims 1 and 17, Callinan et al. discloses an orthopedic support material comprising a water-soluble silicate having SiO₂/M₂O (M=Li, Na, K, and NR₄), with the hardening agent of alkylene carbonates (such as ethylene, propylene, butylene and glyceryl carbonates, and combinations thereof) (claim 1, 4, 10 and col.7, lines 9-15).

Regarding claim 2, Callinan '355 discloses the orthopedic support material containing at least 1 part silicate in about 6 parts of water, which encompasses the instant claim 2 (col.13 lines 15-33 and claim 1).

Regarding claim 4, Callinan '355 discloses the ratio of SiO_2/M_2O is 2.4 to 1 (col.13, line 21).

Regarding claim 5, Callinan '355 discloses the hardening agents including ethylene, propylene, butylene and glyceryl carbonates, and derivatives and combinations thereof. A proper mixing from above group of alkylene carbonates would have the freeze points below 15 °C (col.7, lines 10-15).

Art Unit: 1791

Regarding claims 7-8, Callinan '355 discloses the aqueous solution containing 42% water, and the weight ratio of sodium silicate to harden agent (propylene carbonate) is about 71% to 29%, so the amount of silicon in the total weight of composition is about 40%, which encompasses the instant claims (col. 13, lines 15-33).

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 1-6 and 9-17 are rejected under 35 U.S.C.103 (a) as being unpatentable over Callinan et al. (US 6,030,355).

Callinan '355 teaches an orthopedic support material comprising a water-soluble silicate having SiO₂/M₂O (M=Li, Na, K, and NR₄), with a hardening agent (claim 1, 4, 10 and col.7, lines 9-15). Particularly preferred hardening agents are the alkylene carbonates (ethylene, propylene, butylene and glyceryl carbonates) and their combination thereof, i. e, a 50:50 (by weight) mixture of propylene carbonate and ethylene carbonate (col.7, lines 27-35).

Although, Callinan '355 does not specially discloses the combination of glycerine carbonate and other alkylene carbonate, it would be obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of invention was made to know that the set time and the strength of

cast can be controlled by varying the combination of alkylene carbonates from the group above with a particular soluble silicate.

Regarding claims 9-12, it would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to substitute the mixture of propylene and ethylene carbonates with glycerine and ethylene carbonates, and optimize the compositions to a desirable ranges (such as a mixture of 40:60), because the glycerine carbonate may be beneficial to increase its molecular weight by reacting the free hydroxyl group with epoxy or isocyanate compounds. Therefore, the invention as a whole would have been *prima facie* obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made.

Regarding claims 13-14, as discussed above, it would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to substitute with a about 40:60 mixture of glycerine carbonate and propylene carbonate. Therefore, the invention as a whole would have been *prima facie* obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made.

Regarding claim 15, as discussed above, it would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to substitute with a 40:60 mixture of glycerine carbonate and butylene carbonate.

Regarding claims 3 and 6, Callinan '355 presents an example of preparing a hardening article by adding water to a water-soluble silicate and propylene carbonate.

The composition of material was 71% sodium silicate and 29% of propylene carbonate.

As discussed above, because the glycerine carbonate may be beneficial to increase its

Page 5

molecular weight by reacting the free hydroxyl group with epoxy or isocyanate compounds, it would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to substitute propylene carbonate with 29%wt of mixture of glycerine carbonate and propylene carbonate. So the weight percent of hardening agent is about 29%, which encompasses the instantly claimed.

Regarding claim 16, Callinan '355 discloses the % wt of water, hardening agent and silicon on the total amount of silicate solution. The examiner realizes that not all physical properties, i.e. concentration of silicon in aqueous solution, are stated in the references. Since the references teach all of the claimed reagents and conditions, therefore, the concentration of silicon in aqueous solution would expect to be same as instantly claimed.

Conclusion

The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to YUN QIAN whose telephone number is (571)270-5834. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Thursday, 10:00am -4:00pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Jennifer McNeil can be reached on 571-272-1540. The fax phone number

Application/Control Number: 10/577,455 Page 6

Art Unit: 1791

for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300. Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

YQ August 4, 2008

/Melvin C Mayes/ Primary Examiner, Art Unit 1791